

## IN THE MATTER OF PART 6 OF THE PHARMACY ACT 2007

Mr Neil Sokay MPSI (Reg. No. 7388)

Following the submission of a report of the Professional Conduct Committee (the "Committee"), the Council, at its meeting on 18 June 2020, decided to censure Mr Neil Sokay MPSI and attach the following conditions to his registration as a pharmacist in relation to the findings of poor professional performance made by the Committee in its report, dated 24 January 2020, which are set out in Appendix 1 attached to this notice.

## Conditions attached to Mr Sokay's registration as a pharmacist are as follows:

- (i) Mr Sokay shall engage with a suitably qualified pharmacist nominated by the Pharmaceutical Society of Ireland ("the **PSI**") to carry out three audits of his practice, with particular reference to the findings of poor professional performance referred to above and to report to the PSI;
- (ii) The first such audit shall be completed within three months of this condition coming into effect;
- (iii) The second and third audits shall be completed at six-monthly intervals thereafter; and
- (iv) Mr Sokay shall discharge all costs associated with the implementation of, and his compliance with, these conditions.

Council Decision: 18 June 2020; High Court Confirmation: 21 September 2020

## **APPENDIX 1: FINDINGS**

That you, whilst you were a Registered Pharmacist and/or Superintendent Pharmacist and/or Supervising Pharmacist at Lynch's Totalhealth Pharmacy, Farrell Street, Kells, County Meath ("the Pharmacy"):

- 1) On or about 22 December 2016 supplied and/or caused to be supplied and/or permitted to be supplied to Patient A, a High Tech medicinal product namely Enbrel 50mg pdr & solv for soln/inj PFS x 4 otherwise than in accordance with a valid prescription; and/or
- 2) On or about one or more of the following dates;
  - a) 24 October 2016; and/or
  - b) 21 November 2016; and/or
  - c) 6 December 2016; and/or
  - d) 22 December 2016; and/or
  - e) 18 January 2017;

supplied and/or caused to be supplied to Patient B a High-Tech medicinal product namely Forsteo 20mcg/80mcl soln/inj PFP x 1 otherwise than in accordance with a valid prescription; and/or

- 3) On or about one of more of the following dates;
  - a) 21 April 2016; and/or
  - b) 29 November 2016; and/or
  - c) 30 December 2016:

supplied and/or caused to be supplied to Patient C a High-Tech medicinal product namely Rebif 44mcg/0.5ml M/DS cart soln/inj x 4 otherwise than in accordance with a valid prescription; and/or

- 4) On or about 19 May 2016 supplied and/or caused to be supplied to Patient D Humira 40mg/soln/inj x 4 otherwise than in accordance with a valid prescription; and/or
- 5) In respect of one or more or [sic] the supplies outlined at Appendix A failed to endorse and/or mark as dispensed, adequately or at all, one or more of the prescriptions used to authorise the supply of the medicinal products as required by Regulation 7(2)(f) and/or 7(2)(g) of the

Council Decision: 18 June 2020; High Court Confirmation: 21 September 2020

Medicinal Products (Prescription and Control of Supply) Regulations 2003 (as amended); and/or

- 6) On or about one or more of the dates outlined at Appendix B, failed to ensure that a register of all prescription-only medication sold and/or supplied was properly maintained in accordance with legislative requirements; and/or
- 7) Failed to ensure that there was any or any adequate compliance by you and/or any Pharmacists employed by the Pharmacy and/or engaged by the Pharmacy with one or more of the following Standard Operating Procedures which were in place in the Pharmacy at this time:
  - a) SOP DP3: Pharmaceutical Assessment (Assess and clinically check a prescription);
    and/or
  - b) SOP DP9: Record Keeping and Completion of Documentation; and/or
  - c) SOP DP20-1: Filing of Prescriptions; and/or
  - d) SOP Gen 10-1: Management of Hi Tech Medicines; and/or
  - e) SOP-HK2: Record Keeping

## **FINDINGS**

Poor Professional Performance: Allegations 2, 3, 5, 6 and 7, when viewed both individually and cumulatively; and

Poor Professional Performance: Allegations 1 and 4, when viewed cumulatively with the other findings of poor professional performance.